UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY Winter 2018

PHIL*2100 Critical Thinking (0.5cr)

Instructor: Dr Yussif Yakubu	Email: yyakubu@uoguelph.ca	Telephone: 289-683-1136
Grader:	Office:	Office Hours: Friday
Lucelle Schmitz	McKinnon 352	3:00pm – 4:00pm

Classes:

Type	Day	Time	Location
Lecture	Mon Wed and Fri	4:30pm – 5:20pm	MCKN 117

COURSE OUTLINE

<u>Learning Outcomes/Objectives/Goals/Expectations</u>: I have developed the course to address several learning outcomes. By the end of the course a successful student should be able to:

- 1. Distinguish between an argument and other forms of locution in everyday discourse.
- 2. Distinguish between a good and a bad argument using the tools for argument analysis.
- 3. Assess the effectiveness of any mode of persuasion using the criteria for cogency analysis.
- 4. Identify fallacies in political speech and media articles.
- 5. Write a persuasive dialectical essay in favour of any view point they may hold on any Issue.

Prerequisites:

Required Text: MacDonald, C. and Vaughn, L. (2016) The Power of Critical Thinking. 4th Canadian Edition Oxford University Press, Canada.

Recommended:

Method of Presentation:

We shall use PowerPoint presentations to illustrate principles, concepts, analysis and methods. However, a great deal of the learning will also be achieved through participatory activities such as paired or group discussions and also through exercises and assignments. Method of Evaluation:

Type of Assignment	Weighting	Due Date
1. Attendance and Participation	10%	Weekly
2. Weekly Exercises	10%	Weekly

3. Midterm Exam	20%	February 28
4. Dialectical Essay	20%	November 2
5. Final Exam	40%	To be scheduled
		during final
		examination
		period

Description of Assessment #1 and Its Evaluation Criteria:

This assessment is aimed at encouraging student participation in class discussions and other learning activities.

Description of Assessment #2 and Its Evaluation Criteria:

In this assessment, students are given selected exercises from the course textbook to complete. This is aimed at encouraging students to stay on top of the course material.

Description of Assessment #3 and their Evaluation Criteria:

The mid-term exam is aimed at evaluating students' grasp of the core concepts, principles and methods taught in the course. The assessment will also evaluate students' ability to apply the skills to different circumstances. It will be a 60-minute exam conducted during our regular class meeting

Description of Assessment #4 and Its Evaluation Criteria:

The dialectical essay is aimed at evaluating the extent to which students grasp the techniques of persuasion as well as their ability to apply then in their own arguments. Students will be given topics on some contentious contemporary issues and asked to take a stand and effectively argue for that position. The dialectical part of the assignment consists in the student attempting to persuade us as to why the best argument for the opposing side is not good enough. Essay topics will be posted during week 7 and students are to hand in their essays during week 10.

Description of Assessment #5 and Its Evaluation Criteria:

The Final Exam will attempt to assess as many of the competencies as possible that the student acquired during the course. It will be a three-hour exam in which students will give short answers (a few to about 100 words) to questions that test their grasp of key concepts and their ability to apply them in matters of ordinary life.

Final Exam: TBA

Syllabus:

Week-by-week schedule:

Week 1: January 09

Topics:	Overview of course and assessments.
	• Introduction
Readings	Chapters 1 & 2 of course text
Completed:	

Week 2: January 15

Topics: • Argument Basics	
----------------------------	--

	Patterns of arguments
	Diagraming argument.
Readings	• Chapter 3 of course text
Completed:	
	Week 3: January 22
Topics:	 Reasons for belief and doubt
	Experts and evidence
	Personal experience
Readings	• Chapter 4 of course text
Completed:	
	Week 4: January 29
Topics:	Faulty reasoning
	Irrelevant premises
	Unacceptable premises
	Faulty analogy
Readings	• Chapter 5 of course text
Completed:	
Due:	•
	Week 5: February 05
Topics:	Deductive reasoning I
	Categorical logic
	Translations and standard form
	Diagraming categorical statements
Readings	• Chapter 6 of course text
Completed:	
	Week 6: February 12
Topics:	Deductive reasoning II
	Propositional logic
	 Connectives and truth values
	Checking for validity
Readings	• Chapter 7 of course text
Completed:	Dialectical Essay Topics are posted
	Week 7: February 19
	Winter Break
	Week 8: February 26
Topics:	Inductive arguments
•	Sampling and populations
	Statistical syllogisms
Readings	Chapter 8 of course text
Completed:	Midterm Exam – Wednesday February 28
<u>1</u>	Transportate Linding Tourishing I continuity 20

Week 9: March 05

Topics:	Judging scientific Theories
	Science and non-science
	The scientific method
Readings	Chapter 10 of course text
Completed:	
	Dialectical Essay Due

Week 10: March 12

Topics:	Explanations and inference
	Theories and consistency
	Theories and criteria
Readings	Chapter 9 of course text
Completed:	

Week 11: March 19

Topics:	Thinking critically about:
	Health
	• Law
	• Ethics
Readings	Chapter 11 of course text
Completed:	_

Week 12: March 26

	Reasoning by analogy
	Inductive analogies
Readings	Chapter 8 of course text

Week 13: April 02

• Paviavi
• Review
==+ -=+

College of Arts Standard Statement of Expectations

E-mail Communication

As per university regulations, all students are required to check their <uoguelph.ca> e-mail account regularly: e-mail is the official route of communication between the University and its students.

When You Cannot Meet a Course Requirement

When you find yourself unable to meet an in-course requirement because of illness or compassionate reasons, please advise the course instructor (or designated person, such as a teaching assistant) in writing, with your name, id#, and e-mail contact. See the undergraduate calendar for information on regulations and procedures for Academic Consideration.

Drop Date

Courses that are one semester long must be dropped by the end of the fortieth class day (**Friday, 9 March 2018**); two-semester courses must be dropped by the last day of the add period in the second semester. The regulations and procedures for dropping courses are available in the Undergraduate Calendar.

Copies of out-of-class assignments

Keep paper and/or other reliable back-up copies of all out-of-class assignments: you may be asked to resubmit work at any time.

Accessibility

The University promotes the full participation of students who experience disabilities in their academic programs. To that end, the provision of academic accommodation is a shared responsibility between the University and the student.

When accommodations are needed, the student is required to first register with Student Accessibility Services (SAS). Documentation to substantiate the existence of a disability is required, however, interim accommodations may be possible while that process is underway.

Accommodations are available for both permanent and temporary disabilities. It should be noted that common illnesses such as a cold or the flu do not constitute a disability.

Use of the SAS Exam Centre requires students to book their exams at least 7 days in advance, and not later than the 40th Class Day. For more information see the SAS web site.

Student Rights and Responsibilities

Each student at the University of Guelph has rights which carry commensurate responsibilities that involve, broadly, being a civil and respectful member of the University community. <u>The Rights and Responsibilities are detailed in the Undergraduate Calendar.</u>

Academic Misconduct

The University of Guelph is committed to upholding the highest standards of academic integrity and it is the responsibility of all members of the University community – faculty, staff, and students – to be aware of what constitutes academic misconduct and to do as much as possible to prevent academic offences from occurring. University of Guelph students have the responsibility of abiding by the University's policy on academic misconduct regardless of their location of study; faculty, staff and students have the responsibility of supporting an environment that discourages misconduct. Students need to remain aware that instructors have access to and the right to use electronic and other means of detection.

Please note: Whether or not a student intended to commit academic misconduct is not relevant for a finding of guilt. Hurried or careless submission of assignments does not excuse students from responsibility for verifying the academic integrity of their work before submitting it. Students who are in any doubt as to whether an action on their part could be construed as an academic offence should consult with a faculty member or faculty advisor. The Academic Misconduct Policy is detailed in the Undergraduate Calendar.

Recording of Materials

Presentations which are made in relation to course work—including lectures—cannot be recorded or copied without the permission of the presenter, whether the instructor, a classmate or guest lecturer. Material recorded with permission is restricted to use for that course unless further permission is granted.

Resources

The <u>Academic Calendars</u> are the source of information about the University of Guelph's procedures, policies and regulations which apply to undergraduate, graduate and diploma programs.